

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,826	12/16/2003	Yasuhiko Matsunaga	U2054.0146	5530
32172 DICKSTEIN S	7590 03/26/201 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1633 Broadway	y	NGUYEN, TU X		
NEW YORK,	NY 10019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/735,826	MATSUNAGA, YASUHIKO						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	TU X. NGUYEN	2618						

	TU X. NGUYEN	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: The period for reply expires	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);				
 They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	it canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5.19-26.34-40.45-48.57,60,61 and 63</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	!					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefere are the data of fire a blo					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
	/Tu X Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2618				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that in paragraph 100, Hamabe describes different frequencies are used in Cellular System and Cellular System B. The Examiner agrees that Hamabe discloses the base station controller commands the base station and mobile stations to change to different frequency when the adjacent carrier frequency of system B threshold level higher than the frequency in system A (fig.6). Regardless of features teaching from Hamabe, changing frequency bases on adjacent frequency measurement, however, the frequency is changed is still within a basis of under the CDMA system, one carrier frequency is multiplexed into multiple channels by assigning different signs to different channel, thereby enabling use of one carrier frequency for communication among multiple base stations and multiple mobile stations (par.099).